1	SOUTHEAST
2	FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL
3	ABVISONI COUNCIL
4	Taken at: Alaska Native Brotherhood Hall Yakutat, Alaska
5	October 15, 2001
6	0000001 13, 2001
7	ATTENDANCE
8	Council Members Present:
9	William C. Bill Thomas, Chair Bert Adams
10	Floyd Kookesh Richard "Dick" Stokes
11	Mary Rudolph Patricia Phillips
12	Michael A. Douville Marilyn R. Wilson
13	John Littlefield Harold Martin
14	Dolly Garza
15	Coordinator:
16	Enod D. Clank
17	Fred P. Clark
18	Others Present:
19	Dan LaPlant, US FWS; Scott Kelley, ADF&G David Johnson, Tongass NF; Ida Hildebrand,
20	BIA; Rachel Mason, NPS; Jim Capra, NPS; Sandy Scotton, NPS; Eric Veach, NPS; Mike
21	Jackson, OVK; Burt L. Jackson, OVK; Daniel Gillikin, USFS; Steve Will, KCAW-FM; Don
22	Rivard, US FWS; Greg Bos, US FWS; Tom Morphet, United Fishermen of Alaska; Bob
23	Larson, USDA; Mike Turek, ADF&G Ben Van Alen, USDA; Doug McBride, US FWS; Terry
24	Suminski, USDA; Jeff Reeves, USDA; Greg Kahler, USFS; Martin Myers, USFS; John
25	Burick USFS; Nels H. Lawson, USFS; Robert Johnson, ADF&G Steven McCurdy, ADF&G

1	Robert Chadwick, ADF&G Tom Brookover, ADF&G Pete Probasco, `US FWS; John Burick,
2	USFS; Wini Kessler, USFS; Rick Davison, ADF&G Neil Barten, ADF&G Meg Cartwright,
3	ADF&G, NeIl Barten, ADF&G, Meg Cartwright, ADF&G Bob Schroeder, JFSL; Judy Ramos, Yakutat Tlingit Tribe; David Belton, Hoonah
4	Indian Association; Herman Kitka, Sitka ANB; Robi Craig, Sitka Tribe of Alaska; Jude
5	Pate, Sitka Tribe of Alaska; Jude Sitka Tribe of Alaska; Jack Lorrigan, Sitka Tribe of Alaska; Walter A. Johnson,
6	Yakutat; Woody Widmark, Sitka Tribe of Alaska; David Bedford, Southeast Alaska
7	Seiners.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MD HIJOMAC. T will call this
3	MR. THOMAS: I will call this meeting to order this regular fall meeting.
4	Before we get into any business at all, Bert, will you do the invocation?
5	(Invocation by Mr. Adams.)
6	MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Bert.
7	Okay. Roll call, Marilyn?
8	MS. WILSON: Okay, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Bert Adams?
9	MR. ADAMS: Present.
10	MS. WILSON: Mr. Floyd Kookesh?
11	MR. KOOKESH: Present.
12	MS. WILSON: Mr. William C.
13	"Bill" Thomas?
14	MR. THOMAS: Here.
15	MS. WILSON: Mr. Clarence "Butch" Laiti?
16	Absent. Mr. Richard "Dick" Stokes?
17	MR. STOKES: Here.
18	MS. WILSON: Ms. Mary Rudolph?
19	MS. RUDOLPH: Here.
20	MS. WILSON: Ms. Patricia
21	Phillips?
22	MS. PHILLIPS: Here.
23	MS. WILSON: Mr. Michael Douville?
24	MR. DOUVILLE: Here.
25	MS. WILSON: Mr. Lonnie Anderson?

1	Ms. Marilyn R. Wilson? Here. Mr. John Littlefield?
2	MR. LITTLEFIELD: Yes.
3	MS. WILSON: Ms. Dolly Garza?
4	MS. GARZA: Here.
5	MS. WILSON: Mr. Chairman, that's
6	completed.
7	MR. THOMAS: We have a quorum. Thank you, Madam Secretary.
8	I want to welcome each of you that are here today. We've had a lot of
9	extraordinary things that's happened since our last meeting. And the topic of
10	subsistence remains a very sensitive topic, as it should, to many people.
11	And I think collectively everybody is looking to find an optimum
12	management plan to assure that we don't that we don't use up any of the resources
13	that we have available with regards to edible subsistence.
14	And I want to welcome the representatives from the different agencies
15	that are here, as well as the public. Herman Kitka is our honorary
16	lifetime member. I was happy for him to show up. I don't know if he came here to
17	pick on us or he's going to be a nice guy. I think we ought to give him a hand.
18	(Applause.)
19	MR. THOMAS: We're always happy
20	to see you, Herman. Herman represents a wealth of
21	information that he has been very generous with sharing over the years. He continues
22	to do so. And we want to glean from that as long as we can.
23	So, at this time, I think I'll have, like we've done in the past,
24	introduction of Regional Council staff and guests; and we'll do that by telling who we
25	are and where we're from and kind of go around the room. We'll start with the

1	council table at first, with Mike Douville.
2	MR. DOUVILLE: I'm from Craig, Prince of Wales.
3	MG DUTILIDG Patter Phillips
4	MS. PHILLIPS: Patty Phillips from Pelican.
5	MR. THOMAS: Bill Thomas, Ketchikan.
6	MS. GARZA: Dolly Garza from
7	Ketchikan. And I thank the Yakutat people for inviting us here. It's wonderful to be
8	here. It's a beautiful place, and it's wonderful to be here.
9	MD MARTH. The Handle Monthly
10	MR. MARTIN: I'm Harold Martin, Tlingit. I was born and raised in Kake. I live in Juneau.
11	MR. KOOKESH: Floyd Kookesh from
12	Angoon.
13	MR. ADAMS: Bert Adams from
14	Yakutat; and just on behalf of the president of Yakutat Native Village, Ray Sensmeyer he won't be here until this evening he
15	asked me to extend a warm welcome to everyone here, and wishes that we have a
16	real successful meeting over the next couple of days. We'll have a chance to see him
17	this evening during the dinner. I want to apologize to Dolly.
18	The first thing, she came to me when she walked into the door, chastised me for not
19	meeting you all at the airport. So and then she threatened to take the meeting
20	somewhere else. Anyhow, I apologize for that.
21	I'm a very busy person. I thought I was late when I came down here 5 minutes to
22	1:00, but I'm glad I made it and welcome everyone. We welcome you to Yakutat.
23	MR. THOMAS: Where are you from?
24	MR. ADAMS: I'm from Dry Bay.
25	(Laughter.)
	\ /

1	
2	MR. STOKES: Dick Stokes. I'm from Wrangell. After his long-winded presentation, I'll just sit down.
3	
4	(Laughter.)
5	MR. LITTLEFIELD: I'm John Littlefield from Sitka. I'd like to thank Bert for inviting us to Yakutat, and noted
6	we're all three from Dry Bay, originally.
7	MS. WILSON: I'm Marilyn Wilson from Haines. This is my second time here
8	for a Federal Subsistence meeting. Thank you for inviting us once again.
9	
10	MS. RUDOLPH: I'm Mary Rudolph from Hoonah, and this is also my second time here. Thank you for the invitation to come
11	over again.
12	MR. CLARK: I'm Fred Clark. I'm
13	the coordinator for the Council and also the staff anthropologist. I live in Juneau. I also wanted to say thank you to the people
14	of Yakutat for bringing us here once again. This building is one of my favorite places
15	in Alaska. I'm hoping that sometime somebody from Yakutat will provide us with a
16	history of this building. Bert?
17	MR. CASIPIT: I'm Cal Casipit.
18	I'm the regional office subsistence staff fisheries biologist. I'm also the lead
19	biologist for the team in support of the Council.
20	I'm sure most everybody in the room knows that this will be the last
21	meeting for Fred Clark, your coordinator. He's moving on to another job with the
22	Forest Service. I'm really I'm sad to see him go on one hand, and happy for him on
23	the other. Fred and I, as most of you know,
24	share office space, and we talk amongst
25	ourselves quite a bit. I'm really going to miss Fred when he leaves. He's taught me the heart of this

1	program, which is, I think, the most
2	important part of this program, the heart for the people.
3	Before I would like everybody who has had interactions with Fred I did bring a Totem, what we call the Totem, a big
4	piece of paper with a Totem pole on it put your thoughts for Fred on his way out.
5	He's leaving. You might want to give a few words, write a few words for him on that
6	Totem. It's kind of a momento from us in the Forest Service to Fred so he can take
7	that with him and remember everything he did here and all the people he interacted with.
8	There's also a little envelope on the back if you care to contribute to his
9	going-away gift as well. Encourage everybody to sign up
10	for it before you leave the meeting today before you leave the meeting this week.
11	Thanks.
12	MR. MYERS: My name is Marty Myers. I'm the Forest Service law
13	enforcement coordinator out of Juneau.
14	MR. WILLIAM: Steve William. I'm a radio reporter. I live in Sitka and work
15	for Public Radio there; and we broadcast in a number of your communities, and also we
16	share my stories with other stations in Southeast Alaska and around the state.
17	MR. GILLIKIN: My name is Dan
18	Gillikin. I'm the local fisheries biologist with the Forest Service here. Patricia
19	O'Connor asked me to sit in for her. She had another meeting at the Forest Service,
20	and she wanted me to welcome all of you to the Forest Service District from Yakutat.
21	MR. JACKSON: My name is Burt
22	Jackson. I'm from Kake. I'm one of the technicians for one of the subsistence
23	monitoring programs in Kake. I'm here to monitor here to check out the meeting and
24	the first time here, so
25	MS. GARZA: Who is your mother?

1	MR. JACKSON: My mother? MR. THOMAS: Don't tell her.
2	MR. THOMAS. DON t tell nel.
3	MR. JACKSON: Pauline James; my father is Norman Jackson.
4	MS. GARZA: I know who you are.
5	MR. MIKE JACKSON: Burt's my nephew. I brought him along as my
6	bodyguard. Our first time to Yakutat. Thanks, Bert, for having the
7	meeting here and you guys showing the issues that you guys have and the booklet is very
8	interesting. I'm very interested in a couple of projects that were here. My name
9	is Mike Jackson. I work for the Organized Village of Kake, IRA and resource
10	specialist. Thank you.
11	MR. VEACH: My name is Eric
12	Veach, from Copper Center, fisheries biologist for Wrangell-St. Elias, and I also
13	cover fisheries subsistence with Sandy Scotton.
14	MS. SCOTTON: My name is Sandy
15	Scotton. I'm a fishery biologist with National Parks.
16	A SPEAKER: I work for the
17	National Parks Service in Yakutat and Dry Bay.
18	MS. MASON: Rachel Mason,
19	anthropologist, for the National Parks Service. Formerly, I was the staff
20	anthropologist for this RAC.
21	MS. HILDEBRAND: Good afternoon. Ida Hildebrand, BIA staff committee member
22	for the Federal Board.
23	MR. JOHNSON: R. K. Johnson, a wildlife biologist for the Southeast team of
24	Tongass.
25	MR. SUMINSKI: Terry Suminski, fisheries biologist for the Forest Service

1	from Sitka.
2	MR. McBRIDE: Doug McBride, Fish and Wildlife Service, Office of Subsistence
3	Management, Fisheries Information Service.
4	MR. TUREK: Mike Turek, Fish & Game Division of Subsistence. Good to be
5	back in Yakutat. Glad the meeting's here, and look forward to a nice five days.
6	
7	MR. LARSON: Bob Larson with the Forest Service, subsistence biologist.
8	MR. MORPHET: Tom Morphet, subsistence outreach coordinator for United
9	Fishermen of Alaska. If any of you want to
10	know what that is long explanation pull me aside and I can explain my program. I'm glad to be here. Thank you.
11	I m grad to be here. Thank you.
12	MR. BOS: Greg Bos, Fish and Wildlife Service in Anchorage, staff
13	committee member.
	MR. RIVARD: My name is Don
14	Rivard, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Office of Subsistence Management out of
15	Anchorage, and one of the division chiefs there in the office.
16	
17	MR. KELLY: Scott Kelley, regional staff coordinator for Department of Fish & Game in Juneau.
18	rish & Game in Juneau.
19	MR. PROBASCO: I'm Pete Probasco, U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, Office of
20	Subsistence Management. Thank you.
21	MR. LaPLANT: I'm Dan LaPlant with the Office of Subsistence Management.
22	I'm the liaison to the Alaska Board of Game.
23	MR. BROOKOVER: Tom Brookover, Alaska Department of Fish & Game, Sitka. I'm the regional manager coordinator for
24	sport fisheries.
25	MR. CHADWICK: Bob Chadwick, Alaska Department of Fish & Game. I work

1	for the sport fish management, biologist in Sitka.
2	MR. McCURDY: I'm Steve McCurdy,
3	also with Fish & Game, sport fish biologist, Prince of Wales, Klawock.
4	MR. JOHNSON: I'm Bob Johnson,
5	area management biologist here in Yakutat. Welcome to this town.
6	MS. KESSLER: Wini Kessler with
7	the Forest Service in Juneau.
8	MR. KAHLER: Craig Kahler, law enforcement based in Sitka Forest Service.
9	MS. CARTWRIGHT: I'm Meg
10	Cartwright. I'm the coordinator for subsistence monitoring projects for Fish &
11	Game.
12	MR. SCHROEDER: Bob Schroeder, social scientist, at the Forest Service
13	science lab.
14	MS. RAMOS: (Speaking Native language.) Daxootsu yoo xat du wa saakw Yeii
15	aya Gineit Kwaan Kwaash Ki Kwaan aya xat. Iutnaa xadi aya xat. Tei kweidee datch xun
16	ayaxat. Tiskwhit aga. My name is Judy Ramos. I'm the
17	Yakutat subsistence harvest survey coordinator for the Yakutat Native Tribe.
18	Welcome.
19	MR. BELTON: My name is David Belton, director of Hoonah Indian
20	Association.
21	MR. KITKA: My name is Herman Kitka, and I'm here to see that everything
22	goes right for our people in Southeastern. I've been a representative of the ANB since
23	1937 on subsistence.
24	MR. PATE: Jude Pate, attorney for Sitka Tribe.
25	MS. CRAIG: Robi Craig. I also

1	work for the Sitka tribe.
2	MR. LORRIGAN: Jack Lorrigan with the Sitka tribe as a biologist.
3	
4	MR. WALTER JOHNSON: Walter Johnson. I don't represent nobody.
5	(Laughter.)
6	MR. WALTER JOHNSON: I'm here on my own, and I'm here to take advantage of
7	all you people being here in Yakutat. Thank you much.
8	MR. WIDMARK: My name is Woody
9	Widmark, Tribal Chair for Sitka Tribe. I want to thank Yakutat and the Community of
10	Yakutat for allowing me to be here.
11	MR. THOMAS: I think we're talking with the Sitka Tribe this afternoon.
12	Anyway, thank you all for introducing yourselves.
13	I like to do that, to give
14	everybody a little better feel for who you're meeting with and some who haven't met before, some we know almost everybody
15	very well. We like to keep it as user friendly and to track as much participation
16	as we can. I was just reminded that somebody just walked in the door, tell us
17	your name, rank, and serial number.
18	MR. BARTEN: My name is Neil Barten, area biologist with the area
19	conservation with Department of Fish & Game in Douglas; and Yakutat is part of my area.
20	MR. THOMAS: Thank you.
21	Good. Okay.
22	Everybody had a chance to read the Fred, maybe you can go over it
23	I'll ask him to.
24	MR. CLARK: That's better. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. How
25	many Council members did not receive their booklet before coming here?

1	MD ITEMIDED Did not When
2	MR. LITTLEFIELD: Did not. Why don't you ask if any did?
3	MR. CLARK: I knew more hands would come up. That's why I did it that
4	way. To those of you who I have not talked to before, the booklets were at a printer in
5	Anchorage that's owned by an Arab-American that somebody got upset because he was
6	Arab-American and trashed his business, destroyed a bunch of copy machines and put
7	the printer out of business. The good news is the printer is back in business and able
8	to produce the booklets. It was a little later than our schedule. So they just
9	arrived for most of us on Saturday. Some arrived on Friday. Finally, the bulk
10	arrived today. So, that means that we can spend
11	a few minutes just to go over the booklet in a little bit more detail so we can have a
12	better idea of what to look forward to. I think the size of this book is probably a
13	new record for our meetings, and probably statewide for any of the subsistence
14	meetings, the Federal Subsistence Programs. It's huge.
15	I did distribute to you kind of a two-page or three-page copy of the agenda
16	from the front of the books so we don't have to keep flipping back and forth all the
17	time. That will provide an easy reference. The book starts with Tab A, which is also an
18	old address list. Some of you will notice that you have new phone numbers and fax
19	numbers and e-mail addresses and things like that that do not show up on here that you
20	told me about. So, over the course of this week, if you want to tell me again about
21	your new numbers and addresses and whatever, we can make sure that I have them on my new
22	list. We will be removing,
23	unfortunately, Mr. Lonnie Anderson from the roster. Lonnie has resigned from the
24	Council, but he'll I'm sure that he will let us know himself what his reasons are.
25	He has good reasons. Behind Tab B are the minutes from

1	our most recent meeting in Sitka. So, we'll
2	be dealing with that very soon. Behind Tab C starts the
2	briefings. Not all of the briefings that
3	were listed on the agenda have materials in
3	the booklet. So we'll have to go through
4	that one by one when we get there.
7	The big Tab, that's Tab B, and
5	those Tab D. Those are the analyses, the
J	proposals to change the Federal regulations
6	for the subsistence fisheries. That's what
O	we'll be spending the bulk of our time on.
7	
/	Another big Tab issue and in some
8	ways a big ticket issue, is Tab E, which is
0	the 2002 Fisheries Resource Monitoring Plan.
0	We'll actually have an introduction to the
9	fisheries monitoring program in the new
10	business section when we have the briefings,
10	but what this section does, under Tab E is
11	looks ahead at the new proposed projects for the Council to make recommendations on.
T T	The briefing under Tab F had to
12	_
12	do with customary trade. And I believe
13	we're going to have a request to move that
13	forward because it's really old business because we've dealt with that before. So we
1 /	
14	can go forward to the briefing section.
15	MR. THOMAS: Before we do that,
13	Fred, what I'd like to request of the
16	Council is to consider rather adopting the
Τ.Ω	
17	agenda there's been some minor changes in people's schedules and bits of information
1 /	that were prepared, and it would be
18	difficult to allow for those if we had an
10	agenda that was rigid. So, I'll leave it to
1.0	the wish of the Council.
19	
2.0	I'm entertaining a motion.
20	MR. CLARK: Shall we wait until
0.1	
21	we actually move to adopt the agenda?
2.2	MD MILOMAC. Voc
22	MR. THOMAS: Yes, yes.
2.2	MD OLDDIA Haday Male Haday
23	MR. CLARK: Under Tab H is a copy
O 4	of our current Regional Advisory Council
24	Charter for the Council's review to make any
2.5	suggested changes.
25	It's been pretty constant, only
	minor changes so far.

1	And finally, under Tab I is the
2	meeting window for the next meeting. That's what's in the book.
3	The book does not have some of the things that are on the agenda, so
4	briefings from the Wrangell-St. Elias National Parks; Bert, will do that briefing. We'll talk about developing an annual report
5	for the upcoming year. And other new business that will just come up later on.
6	That's the booklet, Mr. Chairman.
7	MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Fred. Okay. Review and adopt agenda?
8	
9	MR. ADAMS: Mr. Chairman, Bert. I move we adopt the agenda as recited.
10	
11	MR. THOMAS: Moved. Seconded? MR. LITTLEFIELD: Second.
12	
13	MR. THOMAS: Question has been called for.
14	Mr. Chair?
15	MR. LITTLEFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I would like to make a few additions to the agenda.
16	
17	MR. THOMAS: The request right now is not in order because we're adopting as a guide, not as a rigid agenda. You'll
18	be able to insert those regardless.
19	MR. LITTLEFIELD: Mr. Chairman, the words were withdrawn. I would like to
20	have the public know that those are not withdrawn. If we want to talk about them,
21	specifically
22	MR. THOMAS: This won't jeopardize at all.
23	MR. LITTLEFIELD: As long as it
24	doesn't jeopardize it.
25	MR. THOMAS: It's not going to jeopardize that.

1	Okay.
2	Another question?
3	MR. LITTLEFIELD: Question.
4	MR. THOMAS: All those in favor, say "aye."
F	
5	COUNCIL MEMBERS: Aye.
6	MR. THOMAS: Those opposed. The motion carries. We're not
7	working with a rigid agenda. We want to accommodate the concerns that are going to
8	be brought here. I'm sure there's going to be many, and we want to give them the a
9	fair opportunity to be heard and Fred.
10	MR. CLARK: Well, that being the
11	case, Mr. Chairman, I'd like to just inject a little bit of housekeeping comments, if I may.
12	Bathrooms are this way; coffee
13	pots are over here; and for those of you who haven't been here already, there's a sign-up sheet actually a print-up sheet. I want
14	you to print your names so we can read it, along with a contact number or something
15	along with that, back on the table there. Also, people wishing to address
16	the Council should fill out a testifier's sheet over here, and give it to me. Might
17	be a little clumsy since I'm over here. Give it to me and I'll make sure the
18	Chairman gets it.
19	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
20	MR. THOMAS: Okay. While we're doing that, after about quarter after 4:00 this group turns into a school of piranha;
21	so, were there any plans here for dinner or anything? If not, we need to know that.
22	
23	MR. CLARK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I talked with Ray Sensmeyer this morning. He
	told me that we will be having dinner here
24	tonight. We will also be having lunches and all other dinners here. Community fund
25	raisers right here at the hall.

1	MR. THOMAS: Fund raisers, no free gratis?
2	MD GLADIV. No fues
3	MR. CLARK: No free, Mr. Chairman.
4	MR. THOMAS: Okay. You all heard it from Fred, not from me. You heard it
5	from Fred.
6	Thank you. What's your wishes with minutes of March 22 and 23?
7	If anybody that would like to
8	defer until you've had a chance to study them more, we can do that and come back to it.
9	
10	MS. WILSON: Mr. Chairman, I move we defer the minutes until later on.
11	MR. THOMAS: Hearing no objection, so ordered.
12	Okay. Now is the time for public
13	comment. I have a request here from a guy that doesn't represent anybody. He's not here to talk about anything in particular.
14	He just wants to make a public comment. Walter Johnson.
15	Up to the table, Walter. You got to keep it under two hours.
16	(Laughter.)
17	MR. WALTER JOHNSON: I never
18	trusted these things. Mr. Chairman?
19	MR. THOMAS: Walter?
20	MR. WALTER JOHNSON: Regional
21	Advisory Council. First of all, I would like to
22	welcome you to Yakutat, and I know, myself, personally I appreciate all the support that
23	the Southeastern Regional Council has been giving Yakutat, especially on the moose
24	hunts and those are things that I really appreciate. Like I said, I'm going to take
25	advantage of you guys while you are all here and I'd like to bring some subjects up.

1	The one thing that is coming
	shortly would be a we are trying to get
2	an area to be set aside specifically for
	specifically for subsistence, and we haven't
3	gotten it together yet, but it will be ready
	for next year.
4	And I have a question about
	Alaska Native allotments. Those are Federal
5	lands protected by the Federal Government,
	and some of those lands are bordered by salt
6	water, and I my question is is not those
	lands protected by the Federal those
7	lands and those waters that are connected to
	the allotment, are they not protected by the
8	Federal Government and considered waters to
· ·	be controlled by the Regional Councils?
9	Just those lines like, for
9	instance, up in Icy Bay, the whole one bay
10	is surrounded by allotments, and the State
10	has issued permits, and I feel that those
11	permits that they issued are wrong. They
ΤŢ	allow two log rafts in there and those log
12	rafts are destroying that little bay even
LZ	
13	though it's state land, they should have
13	precedence over it. It's something I would
14	like an answer to.
L4	MD HILOMAG. Walter I don't leave
1 =	MR. THOMAS: Walter, I don't know
15	if anybody can respond to that right now.
1.6	But if you would jot down those questions
16	and leave them with us and if there's no one
	here that can answer with any confidence or
17	authority, we'll have someone explore that
	and come back to you with a response to
18	that.
19	MR. WALTER JOHNSON: Thank you,
2.0	Mr. Chairman. That's the main reason I was
20	asking the question, so it would be foremost
	in your mind and an answer would be
21	forthcoming. Thank you.
22	MR. THOMAS: Did our reporter get
	that?
23	Good. We're good to go.
	Under king salmon rod and reel, I
24	was checking the regulations and I find that
	before we can subsist, we have to buy a
25	license which is understandable, then we
	also must have go by the by the same

number that they're allowed, and I was

thinking and we have to fill out a permit or subsistence permit, and we just bought the license we would have everything else and we 3 wouldn't have to fill out the permit. And that doesn't follow our customary uses. We usually go out and catch as many as we can because gas costs so much money and the shells cost so much money, and bait and everything costs so much money. 6 Once we go off, we get as many as we can, come back and we share if necessary, and we 7 eat it or put it away so we won't have to go out. 8 Now, if the limit is one fish, that means we go out and catch one fish and come back. Next day we have to go out and get another fish and come back, which is not 10 customary and traditional on the side of fishermen. 11 As far as the rod and reel goes, the State is saying that we cannot use a rod 12 and reel for subsistence purposes, and I don't know -- I guess it has to wait until 13 somebody is picked up for it by the State and find out which one is right. But we've 14 always used rod and reel as far as I can remember. 15 Also -- we also travel quite a distance just to get those kings, and if we 16 were just to go up and catch one fish and come all the way back, it's not economically 17 feasible for us to do that. I also have a question. This is 18 for the Forest Service, I guess. As far as I can understand, the nine townships are 19 one-mile square and on the moose season they put a marker out that's supposed to be 20 the edge of the nine townships. And something is wrong with my arithmetic 21 somewhere, because the township is one square mile, and the markers are about 17 22 miles out. And I have trouble with that, because I know the nine townships are 23 supposed to be one square mile. The most you can be is nine miles out. I don't 24 understand how that happens. How can they figure that it goes 17 miles outside of 25 town? That's what they say. And I asked them that, and they

```
said that's the way it is. I just wanted to
         bring that to you. It's just a little local
         problem, but it has to do with the Federal
         agency, the Forest Service, and I just
 3
         thought I would bring it to your attention.
                    One thing that really bothers me
         about the State -- I'm not giving anybody a
         break today, I'm going to pick on them all.
         Under the sports industry, they have laws
         and regulations that say you are allowed two
 6
         fish per day, or six in possession.
                    And that -- to me, that's pretty
 7
         plain, but then somehow or another, the
         sportsmen have been able to find a way to
 8
         get around it. They process them. And the
         State says that's good, they're processed so
 9
         they're no longer part of the daily catch.
         So the sport fishermen go out and get
10
         another limit. They process them as long as
         they want.
                    I seen people come in here in
11
         three days during coho season and then leave
12
         with three boxes of cohos, and we know
         they're either filleted out or headed or
13
         dead, but there's something wrong when a
         person can come in and fish all day long and
14
         take our resources and get out, smoke them
         and go down and sell them for 37, $40 a
15
         pound, which they are doing -- well, some of
         them, not all of them, but some of them are.
                    The results of one man getting
16
         three boxes in three days isn't really too
17
         bad, but when you multiply it by the
         thousands of people that come to this town
18
         during the fishing seasons, during four
         years' fishing seasons span of cohos, then
19
         you're talking quite a chunk of Yakutat's
         fisheries. And those are the fish that have
20
         already been allowed and counted as
         escapement. That's what hurts the most.
21
         Those are the fish that are caught above the
         commercial fishing area.
22
                    I guess that's basically what I
         have, and I know I got a lot of time left on
23
        my two hours, but I'll fold up for now and,
         again, I would like to say thank you to the
24
         Southeastern Regional. You help Yakutat,
         and we appreciate it. Thank you very much.
25
```

MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Walter.

1	There's some things we can respond to. There's some things we can't.
2	MR. WALTER JOHNSON: Thank you.
3	MR. THOMPSON: Dolly Garza, Robi
4	Craig. One minute, 15 seconds.
5	MS. CRAIG: 30 seconds. I talk
6	fast. My name is Robi Craig. I wanted to say hello to everyone. Dolly Garza and I
7	are doing an inter-Tribal resource meeting during this meeting. We have some real
8	communities, real simple, quick surveys. Have you seen any changes in being able to
9	get your resources or about contamination or other resources, about subsistence.
10	MS. GARZA: The project that
11	we're working on is funded through the Royal Caribbean grant funds and it's a joint
12	venture with Teresa Woods with U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, habitat division. Robi
13	Craig, Sitka Tribe and myself as University of Alaska Native Advisory Program, and the
14	intent of the purpose is to try and find out try and find out how much of our
15	subsistence opportunities have left us because of increasing populations or
16	development or changes, general changes in the habitat.
17	So, what I've noticed is that time after time you can get, you know, an
18	EIS or a core permit to do something because it affects a very small portion of
19	<pre>Ketchikan. But over time and over thousands of permits what you have is very few</pre>
20	subsistence opportunities in larger communities and even in some of the smaller
21	ones if you have an activity that takes over a whole bay and you can no longer get clams
22	or you can no longer pick seaweed. We would like to interview at least all of the
23	Council members or anyone living in Southeastern who would like to take the time
24	and sit down with us. It will be fairly quick, but I think it will give us a better
25	idea of what we've lost Southeastern wide and community by community. The grant will

1	not get us to all of the communities in Southeastern, because the budget was cut in
2	half, but we would like to, through one way or another, find people in all the
3	communities that we can interview. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
4	MS. CRAIG: We will be returning
5	information to the community that participate as well.
6	MR. THOMAS: Thank you.
7	Those of you that are interested or wanting a little more about it, please
8	take advantage of the time they're here for this meeting so you can involve yourself.
9	Jude Pate?
10	MR. PATE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'd like the Council members to know we've
11	prepared for each of you and for some of your staff a packet concerning Proposals 25
12	and 37 and the extent of Federal jurisdiction of marine waters will be
13	we'll be handing these to you momentarily, or whenever is appropriate, Mr. Chair, and
14	to your staff. I encourage you to look over them for the discussion of the proposals
15	tomorrow.
16	MR. THOMAS: Okay. What you might want to do is when you distribute
17	those is to follow up by making yourself available to get to stress the points you
18	want with members of the Council so that that will give them a better position of
19	deliberation.
20	MR. PATE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
21	MR. THOMAS: Thank you. Okay. Hearing none, I'll call
22	Walter back up to finish his two hours. Mr. Clark, briefings, No. 8, Tab
23	C.
24	MR. CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, this little briefing is being held
25	because the Councils requested it in the past just to give a little bit of an idea of

how the administrative structure is set up

```
in terms of the layout, personnel between
         different agencies.
                    As you know, the Federal
 3
         Subsistence Program is made up of five
         separate Federal agencies and everybody --
         all these agencies have staff involved. In
         Southeastern, it's primarily the Forest
        Service and Parks Service with a lot of
         involvement by Fish & Wildlife Service
 6
        through the Office of Subsistence
        Management.
 7
                    So, what I did is I put together
         a little bit of a layout and it's already
         out of date because the names have changed
 8
         within the last couple of weeks. It's the
         Forest Service subsistence management staff,
        that's the first page chart. Actually, the
10
         original is in color so you can follow
         things a little bit better, but this works.
        It's just separated between the regional
11
         office staff, Tongass National Forest and
12
        Chugach National Forest staff. And it shows
         on the far left kind of there's a stovepipe
13
        organization so it's kind of separate from
         the National Forest Lands Management sort of
14
         a deal. The office of general Council,
         that's the Forest Services lawyers for the
15
         Department of Chugach. They're off to the
         side and permeate the whole thing, as does
         the law enforcement office of the Forest
16
         Service.
                    We have with us here, the
17
         subsistence law enforcement coordinator,
18
        Marty Meyers over here. He's part of the
         Southeastern regional team. So, we have
19
         expanded the team to include Marty,
         subsistence management coordinator.
20
         subsistence fisheries biologist, that's Cal
         Casipit and the Tongass subsistence
         coordinator, Dave Johnson over here.
21
                    Hi, Dave.
22
                    So, that spans the regional
         office structure and the Tongass National
23
         Forest structure.
                   Within the Tongass National
24
         Forest, we've got the forest staff officer,
        Larry Meshew working with Dave, but then
25
         also we have people out on all of the ranger
         districts: Craig, Hoonah, Juneau,
```

1	Ketchikan/Misty, Petersburg, Sitka, Thorne
	Bay, Wrangell, and Yakutat.
2	The final three on this:
2	Cordova, Glacier, and Seward are actually
3	part of the Chugach.
4	One thing this does not have is
4	all the contact numbers, but we can provide
5	those if you need them.
5	On the next page and there's going to be a quiz after this. On the next
6	page is the structure of the Office of
O	Subsistence Management. Fish & Wildlife
7	Service office in Anchorage. A lot of folks
,	work there now. It's just really blossomed
8	over the last couple of years. I don't
Ü	really see much value in going through the
9	whole thing here. You can just refer to
	this as you go forward so if you have
10	questions pertaining to a particular topic,
	I would go essentially over to the Interior
11	Regions division, and get ahold of Don
	Rivard. Say hello, Don.
12	
	MR. RIVARD: (Waves hand.)
13	
	MR. CLARK: 786-386
14	MD DIVIDD 2000 II
15	MR. RIVARD: 3882. I've passed cards in
13	Cards III
16	MR. CLARK: In Southeast, we
10	don't pass out, we distribute.
17	don't publicate, we discribate.
	MR. RIVARD: I've distributed.
18	
	MR. CLARK: You see, Bill, I've
19	learned. Are there any questions? I know
	you've had such a long time to remember
20	them, probably have them memorized.
	John?
21	
0.0	MR. LITTLEFIELD: Fred, I have a
22	question on page 2, "Secretary vacant". I'm
2.2	wondering which secretary you're referring
23	to when the Tongass, virtually all of Southeastern public lands that are subject
24	to subsistence are managed by the secretary
24	of Agriculture, and I know in ANILCA it
25	refers to Secretary of Interior. Is
20	Secretary of Agriculture the one that points
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

_	other duties like that that are particularly
2	for the forests?
3	MR. CLARK: For the appointment
4	of Council members, that's done concurrently by the Secretary of Interior and Secretary
5	of Agriculture, so that they actually do that together.
6	This is an old list apparently, too, because there is a secretary.
7	There's there's kind of a range of authorities that come from the both of the different secretaries.
8	Some of them, they retain, and
9	some of them they've passed on to other people like the Federal Subsistence Board or
10	the heads of the particular agencies. For some things, Jim Caplan, Federal Subsistence
11	Board members for the Forest Service has some of those responsibilities, but not all
12	of them.
13	MR. THOMAS: John?
14	MR. LITTLEFIELD: The reason I was asking that question is there was a handout that you distributed to Council
15	members and there was a letter from other Council and other Councils complaining about
16	vacancies on the Council. Lonnie had resigned. We have other seats that are
17	coming open, and I guess the question is: Is the Secretary of Agriculture involved at
18	all in the selection of the Regional Council members for Southeastern, where she has
19	predominant basically, that's the only place to do subsistence in Southeastern,
20	because of Glacier Bay National Monument is not there is no subsistence down there.
21	MR. CLARK: The simple answer is
22	yes. The Secretary of Agriculture and Secretary of Interior concurrently for all
23	Councils, including Southeastern and Southcentral too.
24	There's an Agriculture the Department of Agriculture interest in
25	Southcentral as well as Southeastern. Does that answer your question?

1	MD TIMETEDIDE No. 12
2	MR. LITTLEFIELD: No. Who is going to appoint somebody to take Lonnie's
3	place?
4	MR. CLARK: The secretaries, both of them together.
5	MR. LITTLEFIELD: Together?
6	MR. CLARK: Yes.
7	MR. LITTLEFIELD: Mr. Chairman,
8	the reason I ask I'll bring it up later on it has some bearing. I just asked the question. We'll discuss it later.
9	MR. THOMAS: Okay.
10	Dolly?
11	MS. GARZA: Just following up on
12	that, Fred; when we've had a vacancy in the past, the policy, I don't know if it was
13	written somewhere or just a general policy, but I'd like to know if it's continuing that
14	the vacancy will be filled by someone who applied for to be on the Council in the last year?
15	MR. CLARK: That's correct when
16	it's an out of cycle appointment. For instance, this vacancy with Lonnie, right
17	now we have a request into the secretary's office to fill that. It's a vacancy that's
18	analogous to the thing that John was talking
19	about in other regions. We sent out that letter just to let you know what was
20	happening and the rationale behind that. It's an out of cycle appointment, so that
21	means we can take people from the slate of applicants from the previous cycle so we
22	have a list of people that we can send in from which the secretaries can appoint.
23	MS. GARZA: One final question on
24	that process. I'm not up this year, so I'm not paying attention. We had five seats
25	that were up

MR. CLARK: That's correct.

1	MC CARRAN Harry and decisions
2	MS. GARZA: Have any decisions been made on those?
3	MR. CLARK: No. What's actually happened is they've pushed back the schedule
4	and just assumed that the people that were on the Councils for the past appointment are
5	carrying over through this meeting this series of meetings around the state, and
6	then from now on they will actually have appointments take effect for the spring
7	meetings, what we call the spring meetings here, but everybody else calls them winter
8	meetings.
9	MR. THOMAS: Ida has her hands up. Can everybody hear what's being said?
10	Okay, Ida?
11	MS. HILDEBRAND: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Ida Hildebrand, BIA staff
12	committee member. Part of the question Mr. Littlefield was asking, on the Eastern
13	<pre>Interior; two problems: One, a good number resigned in between terms and the main</pre>
14	problem was the change of administration in Washington, D.C. The secretaries weren't
15	appointed and the people who should have assigned them or reviewed the
16	recommendations that went forward from the Board, the process just came to a
17	standstill. And that was what, I believe,
18	Mr. Nicholia from Eastern Interior Regional Council was questioning and urging some
19	movement on. And they hope to alleviate that
20	problem by just putting all the appointments at a later time and that all Council
21	seated Council will remain in their seats until the new appointments are assigned by
22	the secretaries.
23	MR. THOMAS: Thank you. Okay. Continuing with your
24	briefing.
25	MR. CLARK: Anymore questions?

1	MR. THOMAS: We had questions. We beat around the bush on that.
2	MR. CLARK: Be persistent.
3	_
4	MS. PHILLIPS: Excuse me
5	MR. THOMAS: Okay. Go ahead.
6	MS. PHILLIPS: Where is the anthropologist vacancy filing status at?
7	MR. CLARK: Anthropologist
8	MS. PHILLIPS: On this page 2?
9	All the way to the right. It shows two vacant anthropologists positions.
10	MR. CLARK: Perhaps somebody from the Fisheries information staff could answer
11	that question.
12	MR. McBRIDE: Thank you, Mr.
13	Chairman. Doug McBride with Fisheries Information Service, and those positions are
14	currently being recruited. The anthropologist that was on staff, Taylor
15	Brelsford, who is now a staff committee member with BLM, was in that role, and those
16	positions are being recruited right now.
17	MS. PHILLIPS: When will they close?
18	MR. McBRIDE: I think I'm not
19	the one actually doing the recruiting. I think the other one is perhaps still open,
20	but the Federal hiring system moves at glacial speed, and it's somewhere in the
21	system right now. It's my understanding I think they received the position is probably pretty close to being filled.
22	
23	MR. THOMAS: Thank you.
24	MR. CLARK: Any more questions on the staffing part?
<u>-</u> 1	Over the week, if you have more
25	questions, we have lots of folks from all these agencies, including the Office of

1	Subsistence Management.
2	MR. THOMAS: We're on ice-breaking phase.
3	
4	MS. WILSON: Mr. Chairman?
5	MR. THOMAS: Marilyn?
6	MS. WILSON: I have a question. Who is going to pick the person that takes your place?
7	MP CIARY. Thoro will probably
8	MR. CLARK: There will probably be a selection or evaluation team who would make a recommendation to the selecting
9	official, but I don't think those the
10	people on that panel have been selected yet. Is that correct?
11	A SPEAKER: Yeah, we haven't formed a panel yet. My preference is to
12	have a panel to do that selection.
13	MR. CLARK: The good news is that
14	the position has already been recruited for, advertised advertisements have been made. It's closed, so now there is a group of
15	people that once the panel is appointed, they can select pretty rapidly. So it won't
16	take a long time.
17	MS. PHILLIPS: Can a member of the SERAC be a part of that panel, and does
18	the member of the SERAC want to be a part of that panel?
19	MR. CLARK: I don't know the
20	answer to that.
21	A SPEAKER: I don't know the answer either. I'll find out for you.
22	MR. RIVARD: Fred, if I may. I
23	believe with it being a Federal hiring process, it has to be done internally by the
24	Federal Government.
25	MS. PHILLIPS: They have final say.

1	
2	MR. CLARK: Are we ready for the 805?
3	MR. THOMAS: Let's talk about something happier.
4	
5	MR. CLARK: Now, this is a letter that you should have received in the mail quite a while ago, and what it is is to let
6	you know what the Federal Subsistence Board did with your recommendations regarding the
7	proposals to change Federal wildlife regulations from the last meeting.
8	Essentially, the Proposals 1 and 2, which were submitted by Eastern Interior
9	Regional Advisory Council and Western Interior Regional Advisory Council are
10	proposals that are to include definitions of airborne, bait, drainage, and salvage, and
11	to clarify the definition of aircraft. The
12	Board adopted the proposal as recommended. This is page 3 in Tab C. That proposal did
13	go through. Proposal 3 was from the Prince of
14	Wales; Prince of Wales and Game Advisory Committee of Thorne Bay. This proposal
15	requested eliminating the anterless deer harvest in Unit 2. The Board rejected that
16	proposal. Proposal 4 was submitted by the
17	Forest Service and requested that the Board remove the regulatory provision allowing
18	hunters to shoot ungulates from boats in Unit 4. This is an issue that is still
19	with us. The Board deferred the proposal
20	until after the State Board of Game meeting, which is coming up in January 2002. We'll be talking more about this issue at this
21	meeting. We'll be hearing quite a bit about that.
22	Proposal 5 was submitted by Lewis
23	M. Hiatt of Craig. This proposal requested a shift in the wolf season in Unit 2. The
24	Board adopted this proposal and followed the recommendations of this Council.
25	Proposal 6 was submitted by Patricia Patty Phillips of Pelican who requested an extension of the marten, mink.

1	and accord to according to the contract of
1	and weasel trapping season in Chichagof Island in Unit 4. The Board adopted this
2	proposal consistent with recommendation of this Council.
3	And that concludes the actions by the Board.
4	See, I didn't bring my little handy-dandy agenda, so I keep having to keep
5	switching back and forth. That brings us up to
6	
7	MR. LITTLEFIELD: Mr. Chair?
8	MR. THOMAS: John?
9	MR. LITTLEFIELD: Fred, you said we were going to discuss the deer in another place.
10	MD CLADY. Dight nou
11	MR. CLARK: Right now.
12	MR. LITTLEFIELD: Right now?
13	MR. CLARK: And somebody from OSM was going to present.
14	Dan, you want to do that?
15	MR. THOMAS: Let us all know where we're at. Where are we at?
16	MS. GARZA: The briefing paper?
17	MR. CLARK: Briefing paper right
18	after the 805 letter in your booklet.
19	MR. RIVARD: Page 6. Page 6 in your booklet.
20	MR. LaPLANT: Mr. Chairman, members of the Council, my name is Dan
21	LaPlant. I'm with the Office of Subsistence Management. I'm the liaison to the Alaska
22	Board of Game, and I'm going to be presenting the issue of shooting a deer from
23	a boat in Unit 4, Tab C on page 6. During your March 2001 meeting
24	from Sitka this past spring, you heard testimony from several subsistence users
25	concerning Proposal 4 submitted by the Forest Service to remove the current Federal

regulation that allows shooting ungulates from a boat in Unit 4. The testimony was overwhelmingly in favor of maintaining language in the Federal regulations to allow 3 shooting deer from the marine waters and pursuing changes to State regulations that currently prohibit it. The fact that the Forest Service proposal was to eliminate the shooting from a boat on any waters in Unit 4, including freshwaters, drew the most 6 opposition. Those testifying made it clear that the subsistence users in the area were 7 aware of the State regulations, were opposed to it, and didn't want the Federal 8 regulation to change to accommodate it. You all know this because you were at the meeting. This is kind of an update on the situation. Understanding that the 10 proposal -- the purpose of the proposal was 11 to eliminate a regulation that conflicts with State regulations, for the benefit of 12 subsistence users, the Council opposed the proposal and passed a motion to amend it. 13 Your amendment was to, instead, change the definition of the word "take" to refer to "where the animal is standing, not from 14 where the hunter was shooting"; and to have the regulation clearly state that shooting 15 from a boat in marine waters was an 16 exception to the rule in Subpart A. our regulations -- subsistence management 17 regulations. The motion also included your justification for the Federal Subsistence Board to take this extraterritorial 18 jurisdiction. 19 At the May 9th, 2001 meeting of the Federal Subsistence Board, the Board 20 voted to defer the action on the proposal until after January of 2002. January 2002 21 is when the Board of Game is expected to take up the request from the Federal 22 Subsistence Board to repeal the State regulation that prohibits big game --23 shooting big game from boats in marine waters in Units 1 through 5. 24 The request to the Board of Game was originally submitted back in January of 25 this year, 2001, with a request for them to take some action on the issue at their March

1	meeting. The Board of Game heard some very compelling testimony from both Dr. Wini
2	Kessler of the Forest Service and Mr. Littlefield from the Council. However,
3	they were reluctant to take any action on the issue because they had not had the
4	request of the proposal out for public notice and they didn't have any input on the
5	issue from the local Fish & Game advisory committees.
6	So they deferred that issue until this coming January meeting.
7	Since then what we've done is the
8	Federal Subsistence Board has resubmitted the proposal to the Board of Game. We actually did that last month. This gets
9	published in the Board of Game's proposal book. That book of proposals will be
10	available for public comment throughout November and December and the comment period
11	ends on January 4th, 2002. The public can provide written
12	comments to the Board of Game before the January 4 deadline. And subsistence users
13	may also attend local Fish & Game advisory meetings in November and December to express
14	their positions concerning the proposal to repeal the State's shooting from a boat
15	regulations. I'd like to emphasize that those
16	local Fish & Game advisory committee meetings throughout Southeastern during
17	November and December are key parts of that decision-making process by the Board of
18	Game. In addition, those who want to
19	testify directly to the Board of Game should attend the January meeting, January 18th
20	through the 23rd in Anchorage. And the Office of Subsistence Management will
21	provide travel support for a representative from the Council to attend and give
22	testimony at that meeting. Mr. Chairman, I want to state
23	that the Office of Subsistence Management intends to continue working with the
24	Southeast Regional Council to help provide a legal method for eligible subsistence users
25	in Unit 4, to take deer from Federal lands while shooting from a boat in marine waters.

_	The redefal subsistence also recognizes the
	importance of maintaining subsistence users
2	current authority to shoot from boats while
	on Federal waters, i.e, fresh- waters. We
3	also recognize the importance of fishing
	from a boat.
4	That concludes my testimony. I'm
	prepared to answer questions.
5	
	MR. THOMAS: Thank you very much.
6	That was an excellent update.
	We find here it's the
7	understanding that the purpose of the
	proposal was to submit a regulation that
8	conflicts with the State regulations for the
	benefit of subsistence users.
9	There's no truth in that
	statement, and nothing we do here is for
10	convenience. Everything we do at this
	Council level is driven by a necessity, and
11	I say this because in the past I'm not
	I'm not putting all of this in your pocket.
12	In the past we have made concessions with
	the for the convenience of having
13	regulations read the same for a convenience.
	And none of that none of that was for the
14	benefit of subsistence users. And so right
	off the bat, we wound up in the posture of
15	giving ground, so to speak, to areas that we
	didn't like to begin with, but somehow our
16	compassionate side told us to go ahead and
	be good guys and make these parallel. And I
17	think from now on as we as we grow in
	this process, that we won't be so fast to do
18	that anymore for a matter of convenience.
	And we'll have to do more substance than
19	that. I appreciate your overview, and I'm
	sure there is some questions here, and Don
20	John, you hand your hand up?
21	MR. LITTLEFIELD: Yes, Mr.
	Chairman, I had a question. It was the last
22	sentence of the paragraph: The motion also
	included your justification for the Federal
23	Subsistence Board to take this
	extraterritorial jurisdiction.
24	Subsequent to this meeting and
	also subsequent to the January meeting, we
25	had a meeting in Sitka which I brought up
	the marine waters, so I've been working on

1	some of this for a while, and I'm wondering what would be the position of OSM if under
2	36 C.F.R242.3(b) (28) we were to strike the three words and exclude "the marine
3	waters"? To me that looks like I know
4	<pre>we're going to be talking about jurisdiction after a while, but are there any other</pre>
5	regulatory areas that would prevent us from allowing shooting from a boat if those four
6	words were not in the Federal Register? Do you know of any others?
7	MR. LaPLANT: Mr. Chairman,
8	Mr. Littlefield, not to my knowledge. I believe that is the language that identifies
9	the current Federal jurisdiction. So, changing that would extend the current
10	jurisdiction. So that's where we were looking at as extraterritorial jurisdiction,
11	the extent of territorial jurisdiction that we currently had stated in the regulation.
12	So, yeah, that statement, if that regulation related words to be deleted from that
13	regulation, yes, that would grant jurisdiction to the Federal Subsistence
14	Board. That's a decision that the Secretaries of Interior and Agriculture have
15	to make. Subpart A regulations are their authority.
16	MR. THOMAS: Any more questions?
17	Thank you very much.
18	MR. LaPLANT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
19	I believe I have the next briefing statement as well.
20	MR. THOMAS: Okay.
21	MR. LaPLANT: This is the
22	briefing on the State/Federal coordination. That briefing statement is also under Tab C
23	on page 8. This briefing is entitled
24	"Federal/State Coordination." I intended to update you on the status of the working
25	relations between the State and Federal regulations relative to Federal subsistence

management.

	As you remember during the
2	February/ March of last year this year,
	at the Regional Council meetings, Fish and
3	Game Department found it necessary to
	significantly reduce their involvement in
4	Council deliberations due to a lack of
	adequate funding for their staff support.
5	That wasn't as much of a notable issue at
9	Southeast Council meetings. If I remember,
6	I was there in Sitka as well and it was Fish
O	& Game Department personnel at that meeting
7	
/	as well. But throughout most of the Council meetings, that did not happen.
0	
8	And, again, it was because of the
	lack of funding to support their staff.
9	In addition, the State resource
	professionals were unable to continue their
10	participation in the State/Federal
	memorandum of agreement that is working
11	toward developing protocols for the
	subsistence and State Regulatory Program.
12	In May we finally resolved the
	funding issue, and it was resolved for the
13	balance of the calendar year. Additional
	funding for liaison and staff support for
14	overall coordination and collaboration on
	protocol development is a high priority for
15	the Federal Subsistence Program and we
	anticipate providing additional funding to
16	the Department for the remainder of this
	year and and in year 2002 and beyond.
17	So we're again fully engaged with
	the Department of Fish & Game. Recent
18	decisions with the Federal Subsistence
	Board, Mitch Demientieff and the Fish & Game
19	Commissioner, Frank Rue, reaffirmed their
	full support for continuing coordination and
20	cooperation between the Federal and State
	programs. Department and staff are here
21	today, in attendance of your meeting. The
	MOA working group met to address how to get
22	the protocols on track.
22	At the February meeting, March,
23	whenever you have the meeting, we hope to be
20	able to provide you with a schedule of
24	accomplishments to getting these protocols
∠ '1	
25	underway.
۷ ک	I think we're back on track, as I said before, and I think we're off to a new

1	start. That concludes my briefing statement on the Federal and State coordination, Mr.
2	Chairman.
3	MR. THOMAS: Thank you. Questions.
4	Mike?
5	MR. DOUVILLE: I have a question for the Federal Subsistence Board, repeal
6	the state regulation that prohibits big game in marine waters on the next page, the
7	Office of Subsistence Management is only looking to provide a legal method for
8	subsistence users in Unit 4. I know that Unit 4 was at the
9	forefront of this request originally. Why was it not included in Southeast?
10	MR. LaPLANT: Mr. Chairman, the
11	current State regulation includes Units 1 through 5 so that's why we referenced it in
12	the statement here, and that's up to the Board of Game to address whether they want
13	to consider this proposal for just Unit 4 or Unit 1 through 5. Unit 5 is what the
14	current regulation pertains to. Unit 4 is excuse me, the Federal proposal was
15	specifically for Unit 4 and this proposal came through this Council as just pertaining
16	to Unit 4. That's how we passed it on through to the Board of Game; and like I
17	said, their regulation is for 1 through 5. It's yet to be seen how they will address
18	it.
19	MR. THOMAS: Thank you very much.
20	MR. LaPLANT: Thank you.
21	MR. THOMAS: I've been advised that 8(d) or whatever will be deferred to a
22	later time on our agenda. Are we ready for 8(f), Fred?
23	
24	MR. CLARK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. What this part of the agenda is designed to
25	do is to provide the Council with some background on types of projects that have been ongoing, the ones that have already

_	been started, for those that have something
	to report. So you can see kind of the trend
2	of projects that have been happening, at
	this point.
3	
3	I think the way that we'll
	arrange it is Doug will give kind of an
4	introduction, an overview of the projects
	that have been funded and started and
F	
5	ongoing. Then we have some examples, some
	more specific examples of some of the
6	projects that people are doing. The
	projects will be presented by Rachel.
7	projects will be probented by hadner.
,	
	MR. THOMAS: Okay. Got an
8	overwhelming request for a short break.
	We've got people that smoke and we've got
9	people that do other things. I can't
9	
	mention some of those, and so we'll take a
10	five-minute break. Pardon us for
	interrupting your eloquent presentation like
11	we did.
11	
	All right.
12	
	MR. McBRIDE: Just to get one
13	word in before the break. I'm going to
	at each one of your stations there, I put
1.4	
14	three packets I'll be speaking to. Then
	there are packets on the table so we're not
15	interrupting the meeting as we get started.
	The fishery information Fishery Resource
16	
Τ.0	Monitoring Program, green report and yellow
	report. On the back table.
17	
	MR. THOMAS: Thank you.
18	
10	(D. 1.)
	(Break.)
19	
	MR. THOMAS: Does that bring us
20	now to 8G?
20	
	MR. CLARK: No, Mr. Chairman.
21	We've had a request to go back to
	the shooting deer from boats issue for a
22	little update.
	11.11.
2.2	MD BUOMAG Oleren
23	MR. THOMAS: Okay.
	Neil Barten?
24	
	MR. BARTEN: Good afternoon,
2.5	•
25	excuse me. Again, name is Neil Barten. I'm
	with the Alaska Department of Fish & Game

from Douglas. I have just a little information to add regarding this shooting deer from boats proposal.

Given that the State regs do not allow the shooting of deer from boats in

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

allow the shooting of deer from boats in Southeastern Alaska, the Federal regs do allow shooting deer from boats in Unit 4. We are gathering committees in Southeastern Alaska, and require of them whether they would submit a proposal to the Board of Game to change the state regs in Southeastern Alaska from boats. We've actually in September and October sent out surveys as well as called 23 different advisory committees from different communities throughout Southeastern Alaska, and in a lot of cases we didn't get answers to the survey in the letter format, in which case we tried calling people, and in a lot of cases we were able to get ahold of each member of the advisory committee. I'll kind of give you a rundown of what we came up with from their views of this situation.

Ten of the 23 committees we never did get a response from. We're trying to get more information from them. Eight committees we did get written letters from our survey and another five committees we actually got verbal communication with at least two members of each of those committees, and they responded to our question. And our questions were kind of related to: Do you think shooting deer from boats is biologically -- is a sound idea? Do you think there's a public safety concern, et cetera, et cetera? And kind of to summarize what we came up with from the people who did respond, in general, there was a -- a -- what I'm trying to say. In general, most of the people did not favor the shooting of deer from boats and mostly due to the public safety concern, not a biological concern. From the Department of Fish & Game standpoint, shooting of deer from boats does not present a biological deer problem, except in years of deep snow, where you get deer harvested in one small area, people shooting from boats. Given the information we got up to this point, we're

going to continue to get ahold of the rest

1	of committees and come up with a proposal to the Board of Game for the winter meeting.
2	So we haven't collected all the data we want
3	yet, but we have been attempting to.
4	MR. THOMAS: On your data collecting, how many of those surveyed are
5	<pre>familiar with shooting from a boat? Shooting deer from a boat?</pre>
6	MR. BARTEN: Certainly a lot of
7	folks from the communities a lot of them probably don't actually participate in the
8	practice, but they still
9	MR. THOMAS: But they were speaking an opinion in any case.
10	MR. BARTEN: Yeah. They know someone who has done it or know hunters from
11	Unit 4 that are familiar with the practice. I think most of them have a fairly decent
12	grasp on what it would be all about. Most of the comments were to the extent of either
13	public safety, ethical concerns. They just didn't think it was a good idea to be
14	shooting from a boat, they might be moving from the water.
15	MR. THOMAS: Those are
16	speculative scenarios, and the people that have requested the legalization of this
17	practice are people that are eligible subsistence users, and there's a drastic
18	difference in expertise on the successful and safety of the approach of an eligible
19	subsistence hunter than there is you can't compare those that are not eligible to
20	be in there. So, their input would be only opinion, and if if you're going by
21	opinions, I don't see how that could have a biological application, you know. To me,
22	that's pretty simple biology. I wasn't a biologist, now I feel like I'm a Ph.D.
23	
24	MR. BARTEN: Mr. Chair, we also did contact advisory committees in Unit 4. We got opinions from members of communities
25	in Unit 4. Again, not all communities answered. We're trying to get information
	1 J

_	advisory committees who never responded to
2	
2	our surveys. We did contact advisory
2	communities in Unit 4 where they did
3	participate in the practice.
4	MR. MARTIN: I'm curious. When
7	you talk about advisory committee
5	communities, who are you talking about?
J	communities, who are you talking about:
6	MR. BARTEN: We have a Board of
0	Game, we also have 72 local advisory
7	
/	committees throughout the state. Most
0	communities have an advisory committee under
8	the State regs, Yakutat, Haines, there are
^	advisories committees scattered throughout
9	the state. The Board of Game and the
	way, the State system works, uses the
10	committees as eyes and ears.
11	MR. MARTIN: Are there Natives
	sitting on these?
12	
	MR. BARTEN: I don't know the
13	makeup of all of them. I only deal with
	three around Juneau.
14	
	MR. MARTIN: I think I agree with
15	the term. I think what you need to do is go
	out to the communities that are actually
16	involved. I grew up in a subsistence
	lifestyle, and I hunted with my Dad, on the
17	rowboats, rowboat. We didn't have an
	outboard. We had to row. And he told me
18	never to shoot if the boat is rocking you
	only take what's for sure you can get. And
19	you only take what you need when you need
	it. I think you need to go to the people
20	that are involved, not advisory board. I
	have a hard time.
21	
	MR. THOMAS: It's not easy to
22	conquer.
22	conquer.
23	MR. BARTEN: I agree with you
20	
24	guys. The more information we can get from all the local communities, the better. You
4	
2.5	know, and, again, this was a very I guess
25	you could call it a cursory examination of
	what the feelings were out there from the

1	advisory committees. Again, to contact everybody just wasn't realistic. You know,
2	just in the time constraints we had wouldn't have made any sense. The advisory
3	committees are kind of, you know, each community or a lot of the small communities
4	do have advisory committees. We wanted to get to those folks and tried to get as much
5	input as we could from the many different communities as possible. As I said, a lot
6	of the advisory committees never responded and we're still attempted to get more
7	information.
8	MR. THOMAS: I could have saved you a lot of paperwork and responded like
9	those people. John?
10	MR. LITTLEFIELD: First, I would
11	like to request a copy of the questionnaire that was sent out, because the format of any
12	questionnaire can give you any answer you want. First off, I found it inconceivable
13	that we're sending a biological question to a person who is not a biologist. I'm an
14	electrician and, if I asked you if this building was electrically sound I probably
15	could not answer that. The biologists are all in agreement as far as I know. There's
16	nothing biologically wrong with shooting deer off a boat. I haven't heard a
17	biologist come out opposed to that. As far as safety, what's unsafe
18	about it? Can you shoot a beer can off a boat now? Can you shoot off a boat anytime
19	you want to? Sure you can. Those questions can be formed so that your opinion can
20	basically get where you want. I think the members of this Council should have an
21	opportunity to look at that questionnaire and comment on it. I agree with the
22	previous speaker that also we need to have more involvement on this. I know Sitka
23	Regional Advisory Committee held, I believe, three or four meeting on the proposal when
24	it came up and the vote was 10 to 2. Two people who voted against allowing shooting
25	from a boat, who discussed safety. One Native on it. Predominance of sport

1	fishermen, guides. Basically, they're getting skewed information. Over 85 percent
2	of the people from Sitka admit to shooting deer from a boat. How can you say that the
3	majority of people are against it because of safety befuddles me. I think you're getting
4	inadequate information.
5	MR. BARTEN: And let me correct that. I gave you the wrong idea there. It
6	isn't certainly the majority of the people. Again, it was the majority of the advisory
7	committees that responded. There is a big difference. As far a copy of the survey, I
8	can get you one tomorrow morning. I'll get one from Juneau. It will be sent via
9	e-mail. That's a guide. You should take a look at it.
10	MR. THOMAS: Marilyn?
11	MS. WILSON: Yes, I wanted to
12	know, we have a lot of ANB in each community, and usually the ANB has a
13	fisheries committee or a subsistence committee, and right now we have someone who
14	has been from Sitka ANB and he's from the grand camp level that is representing
15	subsistence, and when the grand camp is represented, that means all the communities
16	are represented through oh, goodness our esteemed member, Herman Kitka, Sr. And
17	he's here representing the ANB camp. And I think that we should start using these
18	people that have these committees. They're not on the State committee. They're not on
19	a Federal or any government committee, but they're just as important and just as
20	reliable. So, why can't we use those committees as a source of information?
21	MR. BARTEN: I think it's a
22	wonderful idea. And, again, this was very much a cursory dive into trying to get some
23	feedback from some of the communities from Alaska. It wasn't a comprehensive survey.
24	It wasn't meant to be. This information I'm presenting to you is, again, a snapshot
25	

MR. THOMAS: Who generated the

1	survey?
2	MR. BARTEN: It was put together I don't know exactly who, within
3	the Department of Fish & Game in Southeastern Alaska over in the Juneau
4	office. I'll bring one in tomorrow, and distribute them amongst you all, and have
5	you look at it.
6	MR. THOMAS: Any more questions? We're going to take two hours of
7	comment.
8	MR. DOUVILLE: I don't know if you got our response from the Craig Advisory
9	Committee. I know most of those people on there have most of them are involved in
10	fishing and most of them I think I'll give you advice on what my reasons would be.
11	I was at the meeting.
12	MR. THOMAS: Patty?
13	MS. PHILLIPS: Chairman Thomas, I don't want to put you on the hot seat. I
14	have a comment also, is that this Regional Council is a representation of subsistence
15	users, and many of us are leaders in our communities, and we carry it on as different
16	jobs from our communities; and this this SERAC is a result of the State's Fish & Game
17	Advisory Committee's failure to represent us, the subsistence users. And many of us
18	feel a distrust toward the State Fish & Game Advisory Committees, and though your intent
19	is a good one to get public input on shooting from a boat, it seems to me that
20	the results are going to be based on public opinion, popular public opinion rather than
21	grassroots, what is actually right and what
22	is fair for subsistence users.
23	MR. THOMAS: Dolly?
24	MS. GARZA: I guess along those same lines, I mean it's unfortunate that the
25	Board of Game decided that there wasn't enough testimony at the last meeting, because I know that Sitka went through a

1	who went from Sitka represented a broad
0	_
2	range of people and represented numerous
2	meetings, and the conclusion of the meetings
3	and the same goes for here, I mean, we have
	discussed this at length, and we represent
4	our communities, and it almost feels like to
	do a survey is an attempt to overturn what
5	we have decided. Unless you go back with
	those surveys and explain why the
6	Southeastern Regional Advisory Council is
	supporting this, then I mean, you could
7	get a survey out there that people say we
	don't like shooting Bambi; we don't like
8	doing this; we don't like doing that. It's
· ·	easy for someone to say that they don't like
9	something that they're not involved with.
9	I quite agree with John that you
10	can write a survey to get the results that
10	you want and considering that there has been
11	
11	some dislike toward this practice by the
1.0	State and by ADF&G in general, one would be
12	suspicious of any survey written by ADF&G.
1.0	So, I do think that we need to see it, and I
13	think we need to remember that we do work
	very hard as a Southeast Regional Advisory
14	Council to represent the region and to
	represent the subsistence users.
15	Thank you.
16	MR. THOMAS: Since this has been
	on hold. I have to go and shoot those deer
17	on the beach, and they're getting big and
	ornery to know that they can't be shot at.
18	Shooting from boats has been on hold until
	this discussion clears up.
19	Any more questions? Comments?
	Thank you.
20	
	MR. BARTEN: Thank you for my
21	chance to comment.
22	MR. THOMAS: Fred?
23	MR. CLARK: Mr. Chairman, we're
-	back to Doug.
24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	MR. THOMAS: Somebody.
25	
- •	MR. McBRIDE: Thank you, Mr.

1	Chairman. What I'm going to be speaking to the Council about is the Fisheries Resource
2	Monitoring Program that has been implemented so far, and the material I'll be talking
3	about I handed out a handout that looks like this, white pages, and these are just
4	the talking points, basically the points I'm going to be going through.
5	The more detailed material is this green handout that I gave you entitled
6	"Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program 2000-2001, Southeast Alaska Region."
7	MR. THOMAS: Is this bringing us
8	back to 8(e)?
9	MR. McBRIDE: I didn't bring my agenda.
10	
11	MR. CLARK: 8(f).
12	MR. THOMAS: Okay.
13	MR. McBRIDE: Again, this a report on progress for the projects that
14	have already been funded in the first two years of operation, 2000 and 2001, again, I'll be I'm sorry, Dolly.
15	
16	MS. GARZA: What is the fiscal year start date? 2001 started when.
17	MR. McBRIDE: Year starts October 1. We funded two years of program. And
18	whether we call them fiscal years or calendar years at this point, it really
19	doesn't matter a whole lot. Kind of the small program was started in 2000. Then if
20	you remember last February when we met in Anchorage, that was the full what's
21	anticipated to be the full annual program, and that was 2001 program we made decisions
22	on last February.
23	MR. THOMAS: Doug, the gallery behind us can't hear. What's going on? Is
24	there some way we can put this speaker back that way farther?
25	Can you hear me? Testing one, two, three.

1	Thank you.
2	MR. McBRIDE: So, again, we're going to be doing a quick summary of the
3	report of progress, projects funded in the years 2000 and 2001. I'll be summarizing
4	information in this green here, which has an executive summary and then a project by
5	project summary of progress. And I'll just apologize upfront. This didn't make it to
6	the Council book. Since you didn't get the Council books anyway, I don't have to
7	apologize too badly.
8	MR. THOMAS: It sounds good, thank you.
9	
10	MR. McBRIDE: The agenda for what we're going to be spending the next couple
11	of minutes doing, first of all, I'm going to very briefly give you some background on the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program, just
12	to kind of update the information we covered before. We'll also briefly go over the
13	issues and information needs identified for this region, and then we'll actually get
14	into a brief summary of the report of progress on the Fisheries Resource
15	Monitoring Program, for Southeastern region. Later in the meeting, after you
16	go through the regulatory proposals, late in the meeting, I'll be making another
17	presentation on the draft 2002 plan. That will be the draft plan for new work to
18	be funded in fiscal year 2002. Real briefly, let's discuss the
19	backgrounds of the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. The objective of the
20	program is to gather and improve information necessary to manage subsistence fisheries.
21	And if you remember from our previous discussions, when we look at
22	projects for any particular year, the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program is
23	structured to provide a funding commitment up to three years in duration.
24	From a financial standpoint, across the entire state the fisheries
25	resource money for the program was initiated in fiscal year 2000, two years ago, and

```
approximately $2 million were allocated
         statewide for the first year projects, and
         then those funding commitments over a
         three-year period of time, through 2002,
 3
         totaled 4 million.
                    Then, again, last February, when
         we met in Anchorage, the full program, a
         total of a little over $7 million, $7.25
         million was allocated statewide. That's the
         program we discussed last February.
 6
                    If you'll turn to the next page,
        page 5 in your handouts, the bar graph looks
 7
         like this. This is a picture or a graph of
         the financial information on a statewide
 8
         basis. And there's a couple of things
         that's worth mentioning on this.
 9
                    If lieu of this graph, you'll see
         at the bottom years, years that we're
10
         talking about, and then the total dollar
         amounts on the vertical scale, and then the
11
         real dark black bars on each of the first
         three years, that was the 2000 program. So,
12
         in the first year, we allocated about $2
         million to get the program. Some of those
13
         projects had multiple years of components to
         them, and so that's those black bars you see
14
         in years 2001, 2002.
                    Then you go to that second bar,
15
         the big bar over the year 2001, and over the
         small black section, there's that gray
16
         section on top, 7.25 million that was
         allocated for 2001. Again, that was what we
         discussed last February. Then we follow
17
         those gray markings that you'll see the
18
         financial commitment from that as that goes
         over the three years.
19
                    And it's those two bars I'll be
         discussing right now. We do the 2002 plan,
20
         you'll see starting in 2002, light gray
         shaded area. It's got a number in there,
         2,064, a little over $2 million. That's the
21
         money over 2002. We'll be discussing that
22
         in a little more detail.
                   One more, just brief comment on
23
         background: How is the Fisheries Resource
        Monitoring Program administered? The
24
         oversight is by the Fisheries Information
         Services, which is the Office of Subsistence
25
        Management. Again, that's who I work for.
                    Fishery Information Service, FIS,
```

1	things, FIS staff provide assistance during
2	project planning and implementation.
2	And as part of all cooperative
3	agreements, all the different
9	investigators all investigated were
4	required to provide a progress report by
-	September 1, 2001. That's the individual
5	progress reports that I've provided in this
· ·	green report. The actual progress reports
6	submitted by the investigators.
	The next page is issues and
7	information needs. I don't think we need to
	spend a lot of time on this, other than to
8	say there's really two primary sources of
	information, including Southeast.
9	Obviously, the major one comes from this
	Advisory Council. What I've done in here is
10	listed four major information needs that you
	identified. We did it last February, and
11	updated at the March meeting in Sitka.
	Right-hand side of the page,
12	obviously, other issues and information come
	from the subsistence Board. Regulatory
13	issues in front of the subsistence Board now
	and then obviously during the time when this
14	program was implemented.
15	MS. GARZA: Those points from the
	Federal Subsistence Board, when did the
16	Board consider them?
1.0	
17	MR. McBRIDE: These are issues
1.0	that have been from the Board, either now or
18	have been from the past. These would have been information needs that would have
19	driven the program as far back as two years
19	
20	ago.
20	MS. GARZA: So, are those but
21	did the Board did the Federal Subsistence
21	Board as an Advisory Council say could
22	they surface as where the issues are?
22	ency barrage as where the results are.
23	MR. McBRIDE: These serve as
_ 3	regulatory proposals.
24	Just get right into the Fisheries
	Resource Monitoring Program for the
25	Southeastern region.
	So far, we've implemented a total

of 13 projects across the region. These 13 projects are a mixture of both Stock Status and Trends and Harvest Monitoring and TEK projects. 3 Actually, the next page of the handout is a table, looks like this. This is actually Table 1, page 7 in the green book. This table right here, and during the rest of the presentation, what would 6 probably be helpful to open it to this table, you can track along with the 7 projects. If you look at that Table 1, the way it's organized -- I'll just move from 8 left to right. Far left is the comment FIS number. It's a number we give every project, accounting system. Next data -- is 10 data type, Stock Status Trends or Harvest Monitoring TEK Project. Next we've got project title. 11 The next column, we put in the 12 major investigators for each project, you can see who is actually doing the projects. 13 Then on the far right is the financial information. Each year how much that project costs and then if it's a 14 multiple of how many years, you can see the 15 funding commitment over the life of the project. 16 If you look at that table, what you'll see is most of the projects are 17 grouped under estimation of sockeye salmon escapement. That has been the single 18 biggest financial commitment of the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program so 19 far. It's clearly been a major information need identified by the Council. Also been a 20 big subject of regulatory proposals from the subsistence Board, and in terms of numbers 21 of projects and money, that's clearly been the major theme of the Fisheries Resource 22 Monitoring Program to date. And then the remaining projects 23 have been categorized under documentation of subsistence use patterns. 24 Again, that's been a very major informational need identified by the 25 Council, and this also obviously plays into the regulatory analysis in a large way.

Т	so, now, what I'm going to do is
	just go through and I'm not going to give
2	you a project by project, blow by blow
	description of each individual project, I'm
3	going to try to capture some of the
	highlights of these projects.
4	Another thing I'll mention is the
	audience is filled with investigators that
5	actually do a lot of these projects. I
J	think some of them are planning on doing
6	
6	presentations. Others are certainly here to
_	answer questions. If you want to get down
7	into the details of the individual project,
	there's plenty of people here to do it.
8	Looking at that first category,
	Sockeye Salmon Escapement Assessment
9	Program. In total, we've estimated
	escapements now in 15 island systems
10	throughout Southeastern Alaska. The
	methodology of those projects includes
11	primarily either weirs to count fish or
ΤΙ	
1.0	tagging systems to estimate escapement.
12	Many of these projects are also
	estimating localized subsistence and sport
13	harvest, needs to be harvests in close
	proximity to the individual system, and a
14	lot of the projects are also trying to
	estimate and determine productivity.
15	And in total, most of these
	programs are designed to determine
16	escapement goals. They're measuring
	escapement, measure the harvests at least
17	occurring locally, trying to measure lake
L /	productivity. Together those are being used
1.0	
18	to try to determine what an appropriate
	escapement goal would be for the systems.
19	I think the other thing that I
	can generally say about all these projects
20	in general; most of the projects have
	achieved all of our objectives. By and
21	large they're all moving forward as they
	originally anticipated and we discussed
22	previously.
	The projects projects that are
23	using weirs, I think I can report to you now
2.4	with very little doubt that these are
24	clearly successful efforts to estimate
~ -	escapement. The systems that we got include
25	Klawock, Falls Lake, Klag Bay, Salmon Lake
	and Virginia Lake.

All of those weirs have gone in as originally designed and are working as originally designed. They've been very successful. I 3 think the tagging programs are also successful. A lot of those tagging programs -- some of them are still going on and there's a lot of analysis that still needs to be done, and I think the investigators are worrying a lot. They're 6 not quite as straight forward as they're putting the weir or fence up across the 7 creek and counting escapement. I think there's more review that needs to go into that part of the program. I think the other 8 thing worth adding right now, as we're going through these reviews and those analyses, some systems may be added or dropped as we 10 go through time. May be some systems that make sense to continue. Other systems may be undoable for other reasons. There may be 11 some program movement as we go through time. 12 If you look at the list of projects that are being covered here, 13 obviously, a lot of these systems are under regulatory consideration, and you'll be 14 discussing those over the course of the next couple of days. 15 And also, a lot of these -- or several of these escapement assessments are 16 critical for either inseason management or rehabilitation. 17 For instance, Klawock Lake clearly is the subject of a lot of 18 rehabilitation effort and the assessment work that's going on here is doable to that. 19 Another system, Falls Lake is probably the best example. I can pick up off the top of 20 my head -- not Falls Lake, Salmon Lake, Sitka weir, some active inseason management is going on and escapement information is 21 integral to that. 22 The other thing that I'd like to mention on this table is that if you go 23 about halfway down the table, Project 126 there, Kanalku, Hasselborg, and Sitkoh Lake. 24 That's a project that this program was unable to fund, just simply because the 25 funding was -- funding constraints didn't allow us to fund it. Included on this table

```
for informational purposes, the Forest
         Service was able to fund that, clearly has
         major bearing, with this program. I thought
         that was worth putting on the table.
 3
                    MS. GARZA: What is ADA?
         Investigators, ADA?
                    MR. McBRIDE: I think that's
         Angoon, isn't it? Isn't that it, ADA?
 6
                    Floyd?
 7
                    MR. KOOKESH: I have no idea.
 8
                    MR. McBRIDE: I think it's the
         Angoon IRA.
 9
                   MR. KOOKESH:
                                 ACA, sorry.
10
                    MR. McBRIDE:
                                  I'm sorry for that.
11
                    MS. GARZA: Angoon.
12
                    MS. WILSON: ACA.
13
                   MS. GARZA: I thought it was an
14
         ADA project.
                   MR. McBRIDE: Just a few more
15
         comments about the sockeye escapement
16
         program. Most of these projects contain
         cooperative agreements with rural
17
         organizations to build capacity. All of
         these projects have funding commitments in
18
         2002 and some of them through 2003. If you
         go to the table, you can see which ones go
19
         to 2003 and which ones have funding
         commitments that go through 2002.
20
                    I think it's important to
         recognize now that some of these projects
         should be considered for further funding
21
         commitments, and I say that because I think
22
         it's very important to realize that
         long-term escapement data sets are usually
23
         invaluable, and one of the things we clearly
         need to do is go through strategic planning
24
        process to identify and maintain those key
         escapement data sets.
25
                    And the final thing I'll say
         about the sockeye escapement part of the
```

1	program, is, again, there are investigators present, even myself, that can answer very
2	specific questions if you have them. John?
3	MR. LITTLEFIELD: You talked
4	about weirs earlier. On the weir areas we have weirs. We have a foot
5	survey or helicopter survey, other index datas that track the trend on weirs.
6	MR. McBRIDE: Most of the weir
7	programs, in fact, a lot of the weir programs have a backup, tagging component in
8	case there is a weir blowout. I tagging components to try to identify substock
9	spawning locations within the systems. A lot of the programs have ongoing survey work
10	that's going on, so we can try to couple that up with weir data. And so, there's a
11	lot of work going on trying to see whether a meaningful, either index or at least a
12	financially cheaper alternative for the weir will go forward and provide meaningful
13	information. What I'd like to do now is go to
14	the subsistence use patterns projects. Those would be the five projects on the
15	bottom part of Table 1. And these projects are doing
16	obviously, just what the category says, trying to document subsistence use patterns
17	in the Southeastern. Most of these projects are
18	utilizing interviews to document both historic and contemporary subsistence use
19	patterns. Many of these projects are going
20	to provide some kind of mapping, usually GIS data set.
21	This information is critical for providing the basis for customary and
22	traditional and fishery regulatory proposals. And one project on here which is
23	the East Alsek Salmon Historical Use and TEK Project, 091. This one has simply been
24	rescheduled for 2002. It was part of what we discussed last February, for initiation
25	this year, but there were some problems with us, with the Fish and Wildlife Service getting some of the funding instruments or

1	funding agreements out in a timely enough manner to get projects going, that were
2	supposed to happen this past spring. This is one project where we simply have
3	rescheduled it, postponed the money, and
4	postponed the work until this coming year. I think one general statement
5	about at least most of these projects, unlike some of the sockeye escapement work,
6	most of this work should be conclusive after the final year of funding commitment.
7	However, there are some projects on this list that should be considered for
8	further funding. Particularly ones that are updating annual database.
	In summary, for the entire
9	program, most of the funded projects were successfully implemented. I think it would
10	be very easy to say that significant progress was done in building capacities of
11	rural organizations. Most of these projects have funding commitments in 2002. That
12	means most of these projects will be ongoing this coming year, and will continue as
13	planned. There are a few projects where
14	there are questions of study design and we'll resolve those prior to proceeding in
15	2002. And then several of these
16	projects should definitely be considered for continuation funding continuation beyond
17	the current funding commitments. With that, Mr. Chairman, that's
18	the end of my presentation. I'm prepared to answer any questions.
19	Thank you.
20	MR. THOMAS: Thank you. John?
21	
22	MR. LITTLEFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to ask if to get some input on
23	what's coming up in February of this year when we go to Anchorage. Do you have a list
24	of projects that you can share with us at this time?
25	MR. McBRIDE: For 2002?

1	MR. LITTLEFIELD: 2002.
2	MR. McBRIDE: That's a
3	presentation that will happen at the end later in the meeting, that's also what's
4	under Tab E in your book. That is the Draft Resource Monitoring Program.
5	MR. LITTLEFIELD: All those we just got this book about two minutes ago.
6	Is there a listing of all the projects as well as the status the recommendations?
7	MR. McBRIDE: Yes.
8	MR. LITTLEFIELD: What Tab is
9	that?
10	MR. McBRIDE: Tab E.
11	MR. LITTLEFIELD: I'll study them.
12	
13	MR. McBRIDE: Okay.
14	MR. THOMAS: Thank you. Dolly?
15	MS. GARZA: Mr. Chairman, I'm
16	curious, I know that several of the people who have been involved in these projects are here. Are we hearing on individual projects
17	or are they here to look good?
18	MR. McBRIDE: I believe the short answer is "yes."
19	
20	MR. THOMAS: People involved
21	MR. McBRIDE: Sorry.
22	MR. THOMAS: People that are here involved in the projects, here more or less are they prepared to participate?
23	
24	MR. McBRIDE: Fred, I'll talk to you.
25	MR. CLARK: Does your question have to do with inseason projects?

1	
2	MS. GARZA: OVK do we have people from Fish & Wildlife, will we hear reports, is this it?
3	-
4	MR. CLARK: We have people here who are going to do presentations when he's done.
5	
6	MR. LITTLEFIELD: This draft that you showed me earlier, is the declining funds from year to year, it's apparent to
7	me. What does your crystal ball say we're
8	going to be looking at in 2003? It looks like it's going to zero out before 2004, the graph where the funding is.
9	
10	MR. McBRIDE: John, what's going on here, the current thinking for Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program is that there
11	will be \$7.25 million annually, okay? And
12	what's going on on this graph is when the program started in 2000, that's what those little black bars start here that was
13	a single pot of money under the Federal
14	system, no-year money, used to jumpstart to get the program off the ground. That money, about \$4 million, that's going to be spent
15	over a three-year period of time. Once that money is gone, it's gone. The \$7.25 million
16	first came into play in 2001. That's this
17	big gray bar over the top in 2001. \$7.25 million, and if you go to 2002, this coming year, there's the very last bit of the
18	original no-year money that's left and the
19	full \$7.25 million; and then starting in 2003, that no-year money is completely gone. Then what we're looking at is level funding,
20	\$7.25 million annually to fund in the future.
21	What this \$7.25 million covers is the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program,
22	and the Partnership for Fisheries Program. And that's one of the bars that starts in
23	actually in 2002. That very top bar up there in 2002, that is the Partnership
24	Program statewide, about a million dollars. But that comes out of the \$7.25 million
25	statewide. So, as we go through time, the

stable over a long period of time, that will, in fact, mean that there will be a decline in the amount of money, inflation 3 will heat it up. That is the plan, \$7.25 million annually. MR. THOMAS: John? MR. LITTLEFIELD: The reason I 6 asked that is because there are a number of fisheries projects that we deferred or did 7 not recommend for funding in February that has merit. And I look at the proposals that 8 I saw -- I haven't looked at your Tab yet. The proposals that are with the Internet, I see a continual decline. We're looking at \$240,000 -- \$248,000 of new projects next 10 year for Southeastern. This concerns me. Whether you look at the top graph or the 11 bottom graph, these are all going downhill, and they actually should be going on. We should be having, instead of 7-and-a-half 12 million, 10 million or more to fund very 13 worthwhile projects. That's why I asked you what your crystal ball said. I think 14 they're going down here. Everybody should realize, we have some very worthwhile 15 projects that are being axed because there is no funding. We need to go on funding, 16 hopefully, to address this. 17 MS. GARZA: So we're meeting in February to go over the --18 MR. McBRIDE: No. What we'll be 19 doing later in this meeting is going through the 2002 recommendations, the draft plan for 20 2002, and that's to be acted on by the Federal Board at the December meeting. February meeting last year, because -- just 21 because the old program getting started two 22 years ago in October, '99 trying to jump-start the program in 2000, then we kind 23 of had a compressed time frame to do the 2001 program. What's anticipated for having 24 councils' opportunity for input on the Draft Resource Monitoring Plan on an annual basis 25 is to do it at your regularly scheduled fall meetings. I don't know what the cost of the

funding is stable. Now, if it does stay

1	February meeting was, but it was substantial.
2	
3	MS. GARZA: But we have had statewide meetings. Do you know if we will
4	have one again? Do you know if the regions will
5	have a statewide meeting again this year? Fred is shaking his head "no."
6	MR. CLARK: That doesn't seem to
7	be in the works.
8	MS. GARZA: In looking at this, we certainly had more projects than we could afford, and also because of the definition
9	of the moneys we were not able to consider some things that some communities were
10	interested in, like stocking in Klawock. Whatever it is, it would be good for us to
11	figure out how we can help communities find alternative resources. So, I was thinking
12	of that as a State training; but if we're
13	not going to have a state training meeting, then if we can find people if you know
14	there are events that are coming up, if you can e-mail them out to us and we can get them out to meetings. Klawock, if you
15	wanted new habitat restoration, whatever, then these would be the sources to go to.
16	So, that's just going out to the general
17	fish & game population that if we all don't get training, it would be good for us
18	at least to get to know the sources. Thank you.
19	MR. JOHNSON: In response to
20	Dolly, this past year one of the ways the hatchery and some other organizations did go after funding was with the \$14 million
21	governor's fund, so there were a lot of those proposals that came in for the FIS
22	process that actually were redirected over to the governor's fund, and I know some of
23	those funds did wind up in some projects that were originally identified as FIS
24	projects.

MR. THOMAS: Ida?

T	MS. HILDEBRAND: IHAHK YOU,
0	Mr. Chairman. In response to Dolly's
2	question, I'm sure it's on the Internet, but
_	HR-1157, U.S. Congress put out in \$200
3	million, Washington State, Oregon, Idaho
	Oregon, Alaska, did put in Tribes. It was
4	for salmon enhancement and salmon habitats,
	and if that has, indeed, been funded, it
5	would, indeed, be a resource for other
	projects.
6	
	MR. THOMAS: Fred?
7	
	MR. CLARK: I need to remind
8	people to identify themselves when they come
	to the microphone and speak to the Council,
9	so we can get it on record, please.
,	so we can get it on record, prease.
10	MR. THOMAS: You get the
10	microphones yet?
11	microphones yet:
Т Т	MD OLADIA NA
1.0	MR. CLARK: No.
12	MO DUTTITO T 1 1'
1.0	MS. PHILLIPS: I was looking at
13	this sockeye escapement assessment. It says
	the strategic plan is needed to identify
14	making key escapement data sets, and I
	remember from one of our proposals that late
15	fertilization increases sockeye escapement.
	Would that be a part of this
16	I'm not familiar with late fertilization. I
	don't know its pros and cons.
17	
	MR. McBRIDE: It could be.
18	There's certainly a fair number of working
	enhancement systems throughout Southeastern.
19	It takes into account stocking
	refertilization, trying to boost sockeye
20	production. And it would seem to me that
	doing some long-term monitoring of those
21	efforts to determine whether it's successful
2 1	or not would make sense. I guess the short
22	answer to your question is yes, I think that
44	certainly needs to be taken into
23	
۷.)	consideration in trying to determine which
0.4	systems to invest in over a long-term basis.
24	MO DITTITO II D 33
٥٦	MS. PHILLIPS: When Dolly was
25	mentioning enhancement projects, I just
	I'm not familiar what all the types of

1	enhancements there are. I just wanted to you know throw that out there.
2	
3	MR. THOMAS: Were you looking for a list of enhancements?
4	MS. PHILLIPS: Well, here I read one, late fertilization, I think you
5	mentioned "stocking," and I'm not sure what that means.
6	
7	MR. McBRIDE: Means raising fish in a hatchery and putting them in the system.
8	Again, there's a lot of staff
9	here that is going to I'm sure will go into a lot of detail on that.
10	One of the projects that's on this list here is the very top, the top is the stock project, Klawock. They've got a
11	lot of issues and types of problems associated with it. Most people recognize
12	that as depressed from low term from historic standards. There's a lot of things
13	going on in that system, logging, stocking going on, those types of enhancement. One
14	of the questions in there is what which parts of that program are working, maybe
15	there are parts that aren't. What needs to be done to bring that system back up to some
16	reasonable level of reaction?
17	MS. PHILLIPS: It's good to have a fishery resource monitoring program. I'd
18	like to follow it all the way, when you do have the strategic plan, that you can
19	actually fund that plan to get more of an enhanced population in the end.
20	MR. THOMAS: I have a question
21	myself with regard to spending. You may not have the budgets in front of you, but I was
22	curious to know how much of the budget was spent on staffing, if you give us a rough
23	estimate.
24	MS. GARZA: Patty, I think that was the frustration that some of the other
25	Tribes brought up, and it was my understanding that the fishery funds cannot

1	be used for enhancement, that they're
2	assessment, and that's why we need to start looking for alternative resources so that
3	once we assess a population as low, that we find the moneys to start improving the situation.
4	
5	MS. PHILLIPS: Okay.
6	MR. THOMAS: Doug?
O	MR. McBRIDE: Dolly is exactly
7	correct. One of the things we pointed out in 2002 Resource Monitoring Plan, we had a
8	discussion with the Federal Board of this last Federal reg, I believe, and to try to
9	focus this \$7.25 million, so it didn't all get, you know, spread too thinly. They
10	identified several activities that this funding source would not be able to would
11	not be appropriate for. One of them is stocking. That doesn't mean that we might
12	not want to do some escapement monitoring on stock system, with the physical act of
13	stocking I can tell you from past experience, things like captures are very,
14	very expensive, \$7 million in a couple of avenues.
15	avenues.
1.6	MR. THOMAS: How much does it
16	cost to bleed stock?
17	(Laughter.)
18	MR. McBRIDE: Dolly is exactly correct. I think that it's important when
19	you look at this program that what we're trying to do is fit in around other funding
20	sources. Some of them have been ongoing for a long time. Others are fairly recent. But
21	what we're trying to do is fit in around and make the best use of this in a lot of the
22	programs.
23	MR. THOMAS: I think the
24	information that you provided us with today is interest has always been there. It's
25	just that they weren't familiar with some of the intricacies, and I think there's a desire for membership to somehow involve

themselves with a little closer association with some of those. Cal? 3 MR. CASIPIT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My name is Cal Casipit. I'm with the regional subsistence staff fisheries biologist for the Forest Service. Before I came to the subsistence program, I was the regional fish program leader for the Forest 6 Service. A major part of my duties was running the Forest Service Fishery 7 Enhancement Program throughout the region. Doug was right, we're trying to focus on 8 stock assessments and assessing salmon populations, other fish populations, and important subsistence users. However, a big 9 part of the Forest Service program as well 10 as what we call anadromous fisheries programs, that's where we do enhancements, 11 lake fertilizations, fish passes, open up new habitat, those sorts of things. 12 I did make a presentation to the Regional Advisory Council a couple years ago on the Forest Service's enhancement program. 13 I still have that loaded on my laptop. I'd 14 be happy to represent it to the Council. I know there's new Council members coming on 15 board. To enhance project -- projects to 16 enhance projects, there are many in this room that work for the Forest Service that work in that area directly. I'm sure they 17 would be more than happy to find out about 18 potential projects out there. Each ranger district has a fisheries biologist on staff 19 that primarily what they do is they enhance the program, fisheries enhancement program, 20 and the Forest Service, I can't -- I don't know exactly how much we have available this 21 year for fisheries enhancement work, but it's in the millions of dollars in the 22 Alaska region. Probably not as well funded as 23 subsistence program, but they do get substantial amounts of money to do 24 enhancement work. And they're always willing to look at subsistence opportunity 25 and look at subsistence uses. That's a big deal. If somebody comes into a district

1	office and says, "Hey, look. I've got this idea for enhancement program." Most of the
2	users are subsistence users. I guarantee you, that's going to get some high
3	consideration for funding. If you have those projects, if you have those
4	opportunities, I encourage you to contact your local district ranger and get the ball
5	rolling on it. There's an established way of doing that, established funding
6	mechanism. There's ways to get those projects done.
7	And you don't have to go through the Federal Subsistence Program to get
8	approval to do those projects. District ranger says that's what we're going to do,
9	that's what he's going to do.
10	MS. GARZA: How come no that's the most eyesore everybody drives
11	there to look at dead fish in the summer.
12	MR. CASIPIT: I was on Prince of Wales, and Staney Creek is where I scratched
13	my head. What could we do because of the magnitude of the habitat alterations, kind
14	of wonder what you can do in the situation like that.
15	I'm sure there are some things, I know that Staney Creek is one of those areas
16	that we're all working as far as rehabilitation and restoration. As far as
17	enhancement, I'm not sure what can be done, though.
18	I encourage you to get with the fish biologists from the district and try to
19	work something out.
20	MR. JOHNSON: Jim Beard is the person who is involved there. He is off on
21	extended sick leave. And I think that we're using hydrologist. If you give me a call,
22	Dolly, I can check that out when we get back.
23	MR. THOMAS: What's happening at
24	Staney? What's going on there? Is there any people activity going on there?
25	The reason for my question is I think Staney Creek is one of those systems,

1	even though the system has been altered as much as it has. I think without further
2	without further disruption of that system,
۷	given time, it will probably rebuild itself.
3	given time, it will probably rebuild reserr.
5	MR DAVE JOHNSON. Mr Chairman.
4	MR. DAVE JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, Dave Johnson, Forest Service, subsistence
7	coordinator on the Tongass. I think what's
5	needed for the Council is a briefing from
Ü	our anadromous fish program manager
6	hydrologist regarding the road condition
Ü	surveys that have been conducted over the
7	last probably the last three years.
,	Those road condition surveys were the
8	initial starting point, if you will, for
-	restoration rehab work on a number of
9	systems on Prince of Wales Island.
,	The Klawock system, as some of
10	you are familiar, last year we had a report
	from Art Leaner that was recently
11	completed. The road condition surveys there
	as well as functioning condition surveys for
12	the systems the stream systems
	themselves. And as a result of that, there
13	were a number of reaches of stream that were
	found to be no longer functioning. And one
14	of the recommendations that came from that
	was on those high-priority fish streams,
15	culverts that need to be removed and roads
	that need to be repaired or put to bed, and
16	other stream stabilization and erosion
	control. We do have that information. Back
17	to your question about Staney Creek, I can't
	respond specifically today, but we can
18	provide you information that we're looking
	at for information in the future.
19	
	MR. THOMAS: You're looking for
20	restoration in what would be considered a
	dead stream now?
21	
	MR. JOHNSON: For the most part,
22	the streams that were looked at were not,
	quote, dead. They were streams that had
23	been affected by intensive management
	activities, both on National forest lands
24	and private lands.
	And as a result of that survey
25	work, the degree of functioning was
	identified on the sliding scale, and so that

1	those streams that were one high value streams and also had the biggest impacts
2	were the same ones that were identified for future restoration rehab work.
3	And so there is a listing of systems Tongass-wide, that identifies
4	where are the biggest problems for the most important streams and the restoration work
5	that's proposed along with that. One of the projects with Klawock
6	identified specific work with Klawock Shan Seet, Alaska lands where there's some
7	very specific stream sections that were important for sockeye spawning and rearing
8	that were identified for restoration work.
9	MR. THOMAS: What kind of response are you getting from those streams?
10	MR. JOHNSON: We're getting a
11	good response. We've also had the Prince of Wales watershed Council that is comprised of
12	members from ADF&G, habitat division, also folks from Prince of Wales Hatchery
13	Association, Forest Service, and the Tribes as well as Tlingit-Haida Central Council.
14	Initially, we got the grant through TNH as a result of the Project 319 moneys for EPA for
15	restoration work, and now the objective is to help the Tribes identify alternative
16	funding sources for the work that needs to be done. But we've had excellent response
17	from all three organizations and they've been very participated in the watershed
18	Council process, and obviously were very instrumental in getting some of the funds
19	for the Prince of Wales Hatchery Association for additional enhancement work there for
20	expanding the sockeye production as well as the coho production on that system.
21	So, I can honestly say that those three organizations have been very, very
22	effective in helping out, particularly with the Klawock system.
23	MR. THOMAS: I'd like to see if
24	the work could be funded, just to address this issue with restoration, rehabilitation
25	and enhancement, and because I think I think there's some input of from members

1	of Council, to offer that considerable valuable information for those efforts.
2	MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, also
3	the Forest Service conducted extensive public involvement meetings over the course
4	of more than a year from a broad cross-section of publics on Prince of Wales
5	Island regarding road closures, and there were some very interesting things that came
6	out of that. One of the things that people were not aware of is that many of these
7	roads that, quote, no longer exist, that are not really on the road system are still
8	functioning in a sense that people are still driving down them and there's still things
9	occurring in the watersheds and the streams associated with them.
10	MR. THOMAS: Are they violating
11	any regs?
12	MR. JOHNSON: Are they violating any regs? I don't know, Mr. Chairman, I'm
13	not a law enforcement officer.
14	MR. THOMAS: Do you know where there might be one?
15	MR. JOHNSON: Yes, I can probably
16	find one. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
17	MR. THOMAS: Thank you.
18	MR. MIKE JACKSON: I'm Mike
19	Jackson from Kake, and what I brought here was just for information for the Yakutat
20	Sitka Tribe, but I thought it would be good information and update on the two questions
21	of restoration. We have resolutions I just brought up here out of interest of
22	restoration incubation process. For your consideration, possibly for your workshop,
23	we have an organization that is formed through the Alaska Inter-Tribal Council,
24	another agency or another group of people that have taken the most egg incubation,
25	very economical cost of restoring salmon streams by using almost the traditional

1	method. But it would be good for you guys. Maybe I can give it to the staff people to
2	make copies for you, or other interested parties.
3	MR. THOMAS: Thank you.
4	
5	MR. JACKSON: Just to start conversation.
6	MR. THOMAS: Thank you.
7	Any more comments? You have more to offer?
8	MR. McBRIDE: Mr. Chairman, just one other real quick thing that does
9	directly affect the question. There was just one other report.
10	I'm not going to spend a lot of time going through this. This is the same progress
11	report for the inter-regional program, 2000 and 2001. There is one project that does
12	bear mentioning. It's 011-07, Implementation of Statewide Subsistence
13	Fisheries Harvest Assessment Strategy. Mr. Chairman, what this project
14	does is the offshoot of the statewide subsistence harvest monitoring workgroup,
15	which is the project that was funded the first year of study, workgroup that was
16	funded to look at subsistence harvest monitoring throughout the state. I was on
17	that committee. Harold was on that committee, and Cal Casipit was on that
18	committee, among others. We recommended several follow-up
19	projects, and this is one of them. And what this project does is it holds a series of
20	regional workshops with both agency and subsistence user representatives, and the
21	whole idea of the workshops is to review subsistence harvest monitoring within that
22	particular region, look for ways to improve subsistence harvest monitoring and to
23	actually develop a written operational plan so that we can see how it's being done and
24	work on it in the future. And for Southeastern, that
25	workshop is going to be coming up this winter, and one it's hosted by the Alaska

1	Department of Fish & Game Subsistence				
2	Division and AITC. And one of the things they've done in the workshops they've held				
3	so far, which have been in Kodiak and Southcentral, is they have asked a member of the Regional Advisory Council for that area				
4	to participate on that workshop. So, fairly				
5	soon here, I'm sure you'll be getting some notification and being asked for somebody from this Council to participate in that				
6	process.				
7	That, Mr. Chairman, that's all I have.				
8	MR. THOMAS: Thank you. Any questions?				
9	Okay.				
10	MS. PHILLIPS: I have a comment.				
11	MR. THOMAS: Comment.				
12	MS. PHILLIPS: Several of our				
13	duties as a Regional Council is to make recommendations concerning policy standards				
14	guidelines and regulations, and recommend strategies for management of Fish & Wildlife				
15	populations within the regions, and Cal appropriately pointed out that we should go				
16	to our district rangers and tell them areas of concern where we would like to see				
17	population enhancement projects, but it is also reciprocal in that many of the agency				
18	people have multiple responsibilities within their job that they could present our				
19	concerns to the needed area where we would want to see fish population enhancement. If we identify something, then				
20	perhaps someone within staff can roll with that idea and improve our fish resources.				
21					
22	MR. THOMAS: The way she quoted that, just like someone had written that. Thank you.				
23	Okay, we're recessed, 9:00 o'clock. Dinner will be served here at				
24	5:00. So, I want you guys to line up in the appropriate rooms here. Get yourself all				
25	groomed. 5:00 o'clock, dinner right here.				

1	
2	(Southeast Regional Advisory
3	Council adjourned at 4:25 p.m.)
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
) E	

Τ	
2	I, Sandra M. Mierop, Certified Realtime Reporter, do hereby certify that
3	the above and foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of the Southeast
4	Federal Subsistence Advisory Council meeting reported by me on the 15th day of October,
5	2001.
6	
7	Sandra M. Mierop, CRR, RPR, CSR
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	